REMARKS

By the present amendment, independent claim 1 has been amended to further

clarify the concepts of the present invention. In particular, claim 1 has been amended to

incorporate the subject matter of dependent claim 3 therein and dependent claim 3 has

been canceled. Entry of the above amendment is respectfully requested.

In a prior Action, the claims were rejected under 35 USC § 102(b) as being

anticipated by, or alternatively, under 35 USC 103(a) as being obvious over, the patent to

Chatterjee. Reconsideration of this rejection in view of the above claim amendments and

the following comments is respectfully requested.

The subject invention relates to a film for metallization composed of a

polypropylene-based resin composition for metallized films where the composition

comprises, among other things, a propylene random copolymer (A) produced in the

presence of a metallocene catalyst, which has the properties (a-1) to (a-6) as recited in

claim 1. It is to be particularly noted that each value (a-1) through (a-6) as defined in the

present claims is important in the subject films. Also, the film for metallization composed

of a polypropylene-based resin composition satisfies a mathematical relationship between

the heat seal temperature and the tensile modulus of the film as set forth in formula (a).

5

In addition, as mentioned above, independent claim 1 has been amended herein to further

clarify the concepts of the present invention by defining that the film for metallization

includes 0.01 to 0.5 parts by weight of a phenol- and/or phosphorus-based antioxidant

having a molecular weight of 500 or more. With improved stiffness and heat sealing

property, the metallized films in accordance with the present invention are particularly

adapted for use in wrapping food and medical products.

It is submitted that the subject films for metallization composed of a polypropylene-

based resin composition as defined by amended claim 1 distinguish over that taught by the

cited Chatterjee patent. Accordingly, withdrawal of the rejection under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b)

or 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) and allowance of claims 1 and 7 as amended over the cited

<u>Chatterjee</u> patent are respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing, it is submitted that the subject application is now in

condition for allowance and early notice to that effect is earnestly solicited.

In the event this paper is not timely filed, the undersigned hereby petitions for an

appropriate extension of time. The fee for this extension may be charged to Deposit

6

Serial Number: 10/629,857 OA dated 11/29/06 Amdt. dated 5/29/07

Account No. 01-2340, along with any other additional fees which may be required with respect to this paper.

Respectfully submitted,

ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP

Donald W. Hansón Attorney for Applicants Reg. No. 27,133

Atty. Docket No. 030918 1725 K Street, N.W. Suite 1000 Washington, D.C. 20006 (202) 659-2930 DWH/nk

23850

PATENT TRADEMARK OFFICE